An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting Army Corps of Engineers construction funding for the South Central Pennsylvania project:

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Coastal Wind Ohio project;

An amendment by Mr. Flake limiting funds for the Green Maintenance Building in North Bergen, New Jersey;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the National Center for Manufacturing Science in Michigan;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the University of North Alabama Green Campus Initiative;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Center for Instrumented Critical Infrastructure in Pennsylvania;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Alliance for NanoHealth in Texas;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the Notre Dame Innovation Park in Indiana;

An amendment by Mr. FLAKE limiting funds for the ACE Program at Maricopa Community Colleges in Arizona:

An amendment by Mr. HENSARLING limiting funds for the South Carolina HBCU Science and Technology initiative in South Carolina;

An amendment by Mr. Hensarling limiting funds for the Environmental Science Center, University of Dubuque, Iowa:

An amendment by Mr. HENSARLING limiting funds for the Emmanuel College Center for Science Partnership in Massachusetts:

An amendment by Mr. Hensarling limiting funds for Roosevelt University Biology Laboratory Equipment in Illinois:

An amendment by Mr. HENSARLING limiting funds for Nanosys, Inc.;

An amendment by Mr. CAMPBELL of California limiting funds for projects requested by Members of Congress and disclosed pursuant to the rules of the House, which shall be debatable for 30 minutes; and

An amendment or amendments by Mr. VISCLOSKY regarding funding levels.

Each such amendment may be offered only by the Member named in this request or a designee, shall be considered as read, shall not be subject to amendment, except that the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations and its Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development each may offer one pro forma amendment for the purpose of debate; and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole.

Except as otherwise specified, each amendment shall be debatable for 10 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent. An amendment shall be considered to fit the description stated in this request if it addresses in whole or in part the object described.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Ms. Wanda Evans, one of his secretaries.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material during further consideration of H.R. 2641.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOP-MENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2008

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 481 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 2641.

□ 1300

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2641) making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, and for other purposes, with Mr. TIERNEY (Acting Chairman) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. When the

The Acting CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Wednesday, June 20, 2007, the amendment by the gentleman from New York (Mr. HINCHEY) had been disposed of and the bill had been read through page 40, line 18.

No further amendment to the bill may be offered except those specified in the previous order of the House of today, which is at the desk.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. ROTHMAN

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. ROTHMAN:

At the end of the bill, before the short title, insert the following new section:

SEC. 503. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used for the Green Maintenance Building in North Bergen, New Jersey.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the order of the House of today, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ROTH-

MAN) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I would ask unanimous consent to claim the 5 minutes in opposition to the gentleman's amendment, even though I am not opposed to the gentleman's amendment.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New Jersey.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Chairman, I will not take my full 5 minutes. This amendment strikes an earmark for a project requested by myself and Congressman SIRES who together represent the town of North Bergen, New Jersey.

The funds allocated in this bill would have gone towards the construction of a new public works building in North Bergen, New Jersey, that would have repaired and maintained all of the vehicles for that municipality, as well as all of the fire trucks for the surrounding five towns of Weehawken, Guttenberg, West New York and Union City.

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ROTHMAN. I yield to the gentleman from Indiana.

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, we would be happy to accept the gentleman's amendment.

Mr. ROTHMAN. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

 $\mbox{Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I}$ yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. ROTHMAN).

The amendment was agreed to.

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$ VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

The Acting CHAIRMAN. The gentleman is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. VISCLOSKY asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I want to set the stage for what is occurring on the House floor at this point in time because it is my privilege to submit to the House for final passage H.R. 2641, the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2008, with its supplemental report.

On July 10, the Appropriations Committee approved the supplemental report unanimously by voice vote. As I have said before, this is a good bill and it merits the support of the entire House.

I would emphasize that the money spent in this bill, whether enumerated originally by the administration or by the Congress, are investments, investments in our national security, investments in our energy security, and investments in the health and safety of our citizens. They are investments to